

REMARKS

Claim Amendments

With this response, new claims 31 and 32 are added. Claims 1-13 are canceled.

Restriction requirement

In the Office Action of July 13, 2006 the Examiner asserts, in a species election requirement, that the present application contains claims directed to a method encompassing different compositions comprised of a variety of ingredients.

Applicants request that the Examiner reconsider the requirement for species election as discussed below.

Applicants traverse the Examiner's species election requirement. The Applicants submit that the species provided in the claimed invention do not pose a serious search and examination burden. However, the species restriction does place a serious financial burden on the Applicants.

Therefore, the Applicants submit that the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action of July 13, 2006 is improper. As such, reconsideration is respectfully requested and the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the restriction requirement. However, as required under 35 USC § 121, Applicants provisionally elect a species directed to glass in claim 19. Applicants provisionally elect a species directed to polyaniline in claim 20. Applicants provisionally elect a species directed to tetramethoxysilane in claim 23. Applicants provisionally elect a species directed to

glucose oxidase in claim 25. Applicants provisionally elect a species directed to a reactant of starch and a polymer of polyaniline in claim 30.

* * *

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required or credit overpayment to deposit account no. 12-0415. In particular, if this response is not timely filed, then the Commissioner is authorized to treat this response as including a petition to extend the time period pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136 (a) requesting an extension of time of the number of months necessary to make this response timely filed and the petition fee due in connection therewith may be charged to deposit account no. 12-0415.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being mailed with the United States Post Office with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

Respectfully submitted,



Alessandro Steinfel

Attorney for Applicant

Reg. No. 56,448

LADAS & PARRY

5670 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2100

Los Angeles, CA 90036

(323)934-2300

August 14, 2006


(Date of Deposit)

Aileen M. Shrestha

(Name of Person Depositing)



Signature



Date